

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 5265–5268

Thiophenol-catalyzed Claisen rearrangement and radical cyclization: formation of furo- and pyrano-coumarin derivatives

K. C. Majumdar,* P. Debnath and P. K. Maji

Department of Chemistry, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, West Bengal, India

Received 4 December 2006; revised 16 May 2007; accepted 23 May 2007 Available online 26 May 2007

Abstract—Regioselective synthesis of dihydrofurocoumarins and dihydropyranocoumarins in excellent yields from 4-prop-2-ynyloxy coumarin via a thiol mediated radical reaction is described. Alkenyl radicals are generated from easily available terminal alkynes and thiophenol. Thiophenol catalyzed the Claisen rearrangement of the 4-prop-2-ynyloxycoumarin ethers. $© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Coumarin and its derivatives are important heterocyclic compounds, which are found in many natural products.[1](#page-2-0) Coumarins fused with other heterocycles have interest-ing biological and photodynamic properties:^{[2](#page-2-0)} for example, dihydrofurocoumarins show significant cytotoxicity against KB cells^{[3](#page-2-0)} and the ability to inhibit c-AMP^{[4](#page-2-0)} synthetase, as well as acetylcholinesterase.^{[5](#page-2-0)} Recently, we demonstrated the synthesis of coumarin-annulated heterocycles by the application of the Claisen rearrange-ment, radical cyclization and ring closing metathesis.^{[6](#page-2-0)} The traditional methods for accomplishing the Claisen rearrangement are based on thermally controlled procedures. However, thermal rearrangements require a high temperature and long reaction times. In recent years, several attempts have been made to develop new methods using catalysts for the Claisen rearrangement.^{[7](#page-2-0)} On the other hand, free radical cyclization is regarded as a versatile route for the construction of carbocycles as well as heterocycles.^{[8](#page-2-0)} In particular, the formation of C–S bonds by the intermolecular addition of S-centred radicals to π -systems is a major challenge in organic synthesis. Intermolecular addition of radicals to terminal alkynes offers an attractive strategy for the genera-tion of alkenyl radicals,^{[9](#page-2-0)} and thiophenol¹⁰ is a very efficient reagent for this purpose. Moreover, during the cyclization process a phenylthio moiety is incorporated

Keywords: Thiophenol; AIBN; [3,3] Sigmatropic rearrangement; Radical cyclization; Dihydrofurocoumarin; Dihydropyranocoumarin.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 33 2582 7521; fax: +91 33 25828282; e-mail: kcm_ku@yahoo.co.in

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.05.133

into the final cyclized products, which is particularly attractive for further transformation/functionalization.^{10b,c} All previous efforts on thiophenol mediated methodologies were directed towards radical cyclizations.[10](#page-2-0) Here, we report the thiophenol catalyzed Claisen rearrangement as well as thiophenol mediated radical cyclization.

The requisite starting materials for our study, coumarin-4-yl-prop-2-ynyl ethers 1a–d were synthesized by refluxing various substituted 4-hydroxycoumarins and propargyl bromide in dry acetone for 10–12 h. The thiophenol mediated cyclization was performed with 1a under standard conditions [PhSH (2 equiv), AIBN (1.5 equiv) in dry *t*-butanol as a solvent for 1 h to afford $2a$ as a solid, mp 148-149 °C in 88% yield (Scheme 1). The product was characterized as the dihydropyranocoumarin derivative on the basis of its spectral and analytical data. Encouraged by this result, substrates 1b–d were similarly treated to give 2b–d in 80–85% yields.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) PhSH, AIBN, t-butanol, reflux, 1 h.

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) PhSH, AIBN, dry benzene, reflux, 40–50 min.

The formation of products 2 during the thiophenol mediated cyclization of 1 is unusual. Thus, a second series of experiments was carried out in dry benzene instead of t-butanol as solvent with substrate 1a. On this occasion a totally different product 3a, mp: $163-165$ °C was obtained in 80% yield (Scheme 2). Compound 3a was characterized as a dihydrofurocoumarin from its elemental analysis and spectroscopic data. The stereochemistry of the exocyclic double bond in 3a was found to be Z on the basis of an NOE correlation between the methylene (–OCH₂) resonance at δ = 5.39 ppm and the exocyclic proton at $\delta = 5.89$ ppm. Substrates 1b–d were similarly treated to give 3b–d in 78–88% yields along with a by-product 4 (isolated in the case of $1c$, 3% yield) resulting from the addition of the vinyl radicals to benzene.10d

A clear trend related to the solvent polarity or ability to form hydrogen bonds accounts for the outcome of these experiments. In polar t-butanol, thiophenol catalyzed the Claisen rearrangement of 1 (Scheme 3). Thus Claisen rearrangement^{6b} of ethers 1 occurs at a faster rate than the addition of thiophenol to the terminal alkyne to give the 2H-pyranobenzopyran ring system 5 to which addition of a thiyl radical occurs in the presence of AIBN, to afford 2. The formation of products 2 through intermediates 5 has been confirmed by the following experiment. Substrate 1b was refluxed in t-butanol under a nitrogen atmosphere for 10 h; however, no reaction occurred. When a catalytic amount of thiophenol (0.5 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture, the reaction was complete within 1 h. The product was isolated and treated with 2 equiv of PhSH and 1.5 equiv of

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) t-Butanol, PhSH, reflux, 1 h. (ii) t-Butanol, AIBN, PhSH, reflux, 30 min.

AIBN in dry t-butanol under a nitrogen atmosphere for 30 min to afford a white solid mp $136-138$ °C. This product was found to be identical to 2b prepared earlier ([Scheme 3\)](#page-1-0).

The formation of products 3 from 1 may be explained by the generation of alkenyl radical 9 by radical addition of thiophenol to the terminal alkyne 1. The alkenyl radical 9 may undergo either a 4-exo trig or a 5-endo trig cyclization at the double bond of the pyrone ring of the coumarin moiety. A 5-endo trig cyclization of radical 9 may produce the intermediate radical 10, whilst 4-exo trig cyclization may give the spiroheterocyclic radical 11, followed by neophyl rearrangement¹¹ to radical intermediate 12. Oxidative elimination of hydrogen from 10 may afford 3. On the other hand, addition of a phenyl radical to the vinyl radical 9 may afford product 4 [\(Scheme 4\)](#page-1-0).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the hitherto unreported thiophenol catalyzed Claisen rearrangement. We have also described the thiophenol mediated radical cyclization. The protocol presented here involves the generation of alkenyl radicals from easily available terminal alkynes and thiophenol. The methodology described here is simple and straightforward for the preparation of furo $[3,2-c]$ [1]benzopyran-4(2H)-one and 3,4-dihydropyrano[3,2-c][1]benzopyran-5(2H)-one derivatives.

Acknowledgement

We thank the CSIR (New Delhi) for financial assistance and Professor A.T. Khan, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, for the NOESY of compound 3. Two of us (P.K.M. and P.D.) are thankful to the UGC (New Delhi) and the CSIR (New Delhi), respectively, for senior research fellowships. We also thank the DST (New Delhi) for providing UV–vis and FT-IR spectrometers under the DST-FIST programme.

References and notes

- 1. Coumarin biological activities: For an extensive bibliography, see: Wu, J.; Liao, Y.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3642.
- 2. (a) Raski, S. R.; Williams, R. M. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 2723; (b) Murakami, A.; Gao, G.; Omura, M.; Yano, M.; Ito, C.; Furukawa, H.; Takahasi, D.; Koshimizu, K.; Ohigashi, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 59.
- 3. Guilet, D.; Helesbeux, J. J.; Seraphin, D.; Sevenet, T.; Richomme, P.; Bruneton, J. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 563.
- 4. Thastrup, O.; Fjalland, B.; Lemmich, J. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1983, 52, 246.
- 5. Kang, S. Y.; Lee, K. Y.; Sung, S. H.; Park, M. J.; Kim, Y. C. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 683.
- 6. (a) Majumdar, K. C.; Chatterjee, P.; Saha, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7147; (b) Majumdar, K. C.; Khan, A. T.; De, R. N. Synth. Commun. 1988, 18, 1589; (c) Majumdar, K. C.; Biswas, A.; Mukhopadhyay, P. P. Synthesis 2003, 2385; (d) Majumdar, K. C.; Basu, P. K.; Mukhopadhyay, P. P.; Sarkar, S.; Ghosh, S. K.; Biswas, P. Tetrahedron

2003, 59, 2151; (e) Majumdar, K. C.; Muhuri, S.; Rahaman, H.; Islam, R.; Roy, B. Chem. Lett. 2006, 35, 1430; (f) Majumdar, K. C.; Rahaman, H.; Roy, B. Lett. Org. Chem. 2006, 3, 845.

- 7. (a) Lutz, R. P. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 205; (b) Corey, I. J.; Lee, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4026; (c) Maruoka, K.; Saito, S.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1165; (d) Kazmaier, U.; Krebs, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl 1995, 34, 2012; (e) Yoon, T. P.; Dong, V. M.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 143, 9726; (f) Wipf, P.; Ribe, S. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1503; (g) Majumdar, K. C.; Chattopadhyay, S. K. Can. J. Chem. 2006, 84, 469; (h) Majumdar, K. C.; Islam, R. Can. J. Chem. 2006, 84, 1632; (i) Bates, D. K.; Janes, M. W. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3856; (j) Borgulya, J.; Madeja, R.; Fahrni, P.; Hansen, H. J.; Schmid, H.; Barner, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1973, 56, 14.
- 8. (a) Giese, B. Radicals in organic synthesis. Formation of Carbon–Carbon Bonds; Pergamon: New York, 1986; (b) Radicals in organic synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; Vol. 1, (c) Malacria, M. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 289; (d) Jasperse, C. P.; Curran, D. P.; Fevig, T. L. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 1237; (e) Majumdar, K. C.; Basu, P. K. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 2413; (f) Majumdar, K. C.; Basu, P. K.; Mukhopadhyay, P. P. Tetrahedron Report No. 684 2004, 60, 6239; (g) Majumdar, K. C.; Alam, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4059; (h) Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417.
- 9. (a) Broka, C. A.; Reichert, D. E. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1503; (b) Bosch, E.; Bachi, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5581; (c) Wille, U.; Jargstorff, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3173; (d) Wille, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14; (e) Heiba, E. I.; Dessau, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3772; (f) Wille, U. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1239; (g) Wille, U.; Lietzau, L. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 11465; (h) Wille, U.; Lietzau, L. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 10119; (i) Gross, A.; Fensterbank, L.; Bogen, S.; Thouvenot, R.; Malacria, M. Tetrahedron 1997, 40, 13797; (j) Bogen, S.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 819; (k) Clive, D. L.; Yang, W.; MacDonald, A. C.; Wang, Z.; Cantin, M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1966; (l) Sannigrahi, M.; Mayhew, D. L.; Clive, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2776.
- 10. (a) Miyata, O.; Naito, T. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Chem. 2001, 4, 401; (b) Lachia, M.; Denes, F.; Beaufils, F.; Renaud, P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4103; (c) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1612; (d) Beaufils, F.; Denes, F.; Renaud, P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2563; (e) Fernandez, M.; Alonso, R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 11; (f) Martinez-Grau, A.; Curran, D. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 53, 5679; (g) Burke, S. D.; Jung, K. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5837; (h) Capella, L.; Montevcehi, P. C.; Navacehia, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6783; (i) Harrowven, D. C.; Maj, P. J.; Bradley, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 503.
- 11. Abeywickrema, A. N.; Beckwith, A. L. J.; Gerba, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4072; Compound 2a: Yield: 88%; white solid; mp: 148–149 °C; IR (KBr): $v = 2977$, 1692, 1092 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): $\delta_H = 2.39$ (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 2.51 (dd, 1H, $J = 9.21, 17.2$ Hz, CH₂), 2.59 (s, 3H, ArCH₃), 3.03 (ddd, 1H, $J = 1.9$, 5.4, 17.2 Hz, OCH₂), 3.52–3.58 (m, 1H, SCH), 4.00 (t, 1H, $J = 10.85$ Hz, CH₂), 4.40 (ddd, 1H, $J = 1.4$, 3.4, 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 6.90 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, ArH), 7.19 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, ArH), 7.31–7.37 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.50–
7.52 (m, 2H, ArH) ppm ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): 16.2, 23.5, 26.3, 39.2, 69.8, 99.9, 114.2, 124.3, 127.3, 128.6, 129.6, 132.4, 132.5, 133.8, 134.1, 152.1, 162.8, 163.1 ppm; HRMS: m/z Calcd for C₂₀H₁₈O₃S [M+Na]⁺: 361.0859;

found, 361.0844. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₈O₃S: C, 70.98, H, 5.36%. Found: C, 71.17, H, 5.46%; Compound 3a: Yield: 80%; white solid; mp:163–165 °C; IR (KBr): $v = 2926$, 1716, 1145 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta_{\text{H}} = 2.41$ $(s, 3H, ArcH₃), 2.44 (s, 3H, ArcH₃), 5.39 (s, 2H, OCH₂),$ 5.89 (s, 1H, $=CH$), 6.87 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, ArH), 7.23 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, ArH), $7.41 - 7.43$ (m, 2H, ArH), 7.60 (m,

1H, Ar*H*), 7.79–7.81 (m, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.91–7.93 (m, 1H, Ar*H*) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): 16.3, 23.8, 65.9, 91.2, 114.4, 122.0, 123.4, 124.6, 125.0, 125.3, 127.4, 127.6, 129.5, 133.3, 134.8, 137.9, 140.9, 153.4, 163.0, 168.9 ppm;
HRMS: m/z Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆O₃S [M+Na]⁺: 359.0703; found, 359.0717. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}O_3S$: C, 71.41, H, 4.79%. Found: C, 71.64, H, 4.91%.